## **Counter Fraud Policy**

Scope	This Policysets out the University'position		
	regardingthe prevention, detection,		
	reporting, investigation and, where		
	appropriate, action in respect of fraud		
Approval	Audit Committee		
Date of Review	May 2024		
Amendments from Review	Changes to job titles		

Date fornext Rev n BT -0.005 Tc -0.001 Tw

gain In the University settingsuchother gains may includen proved academic results or admission to courses This term can also include the misuse of funds or other resources, or more complicated crimes such as false accounting and the supply of false information.

3.2 Examples of actions that are deemed to be fraked include (but are not limited to)

abuse of position (abusing authority and misusling versityresources or information for personal gain or causing loss to the University); attempting to make payments from University with a stolen or unauthorised credit/debit card;

destruction or removal of records without appropriate authority; disclosing confi8 iinformatsteron p ceamplds wit(s)-14 (e)-7 (01 Tw 1.ut)-4reoprias ng au

- 4.2 The University has put in place procedures for preventing porting and investigating suspected fraud, bribery and corruption and shaken steps to limit the risk of financial loss and/or reputation impact through:
  - a) setting out a clear Counterraud Policy;
  - b) setting out a clear Gifts, Hospitality and Bribery Prevention Policy;
  - c) setting out a clear Whistleblowing Policy;
  - d) encouraging employees to be vigilant and to report any suspicion of fraud, bribery and corruption and providing them with suitable channels of communication ensure sensitive information is treated appropriately;
  - e) rigorously investigating alleports offraud, bribery and corruption, where appropriate involving relevant authorities;
  - f) taking firm and vigorous action against any individual (s) d to be involved in fraud, bribery and corruption;
  - g) monitoring the effectiveness of such contrological
  - h) having in place rigorous financial safeguards, including specifiting and additional scrutiny of business transactions reasonably deemed to be higher risk in terms offraud, bribery and corruption.
- 4.3 Every member of the University community has a duty to ensure that University resources are safeguarded. Anyone having reasonable suspicions of fraud, bribery or corruptionshouldreport it and therefore, it is also the olicy that no detrimental action of any kind will be taken against a person who makes a contregood faith. Further information on reporting is set out in the Fraud Response Plampirendix 1 and the Guidance in Appendix 2.
- 4.4 Any allegation that a member of staff has acted in a manner that is illegal or inconsistent

deterringall members of the University communitys well as persons outside the University from committing fraud, bribery or corruption; investigating any instances suspected raud in a fair and efficient manner; applying appropriate sanctions tonymember of staffwho has engaged in

## 2. Initiating Action and Reporting Fraud

- 2.1 Suspicion of fraud may be captured through a number of means, including: a direct report of suspected frau**d**; as a result of:
  - o the use of the Whistleblowing Policy and Procedure;
  - o the completion of planned audit workand/ or
  - o the operation of proper management and control procedures
- 2.2 All actual or suspected incidents should be reported without delay to the University Secretarywho should determine at which level the incident should be investigated (see table below for definition of levels).

Level	Nature of Incident	Investigation
1	Straightforwardprocess issues e.g. single	Discussion betweetJniversity Secretary
	student qualifications fraud, failed third party	and relevant member of University SMT
	attempted fraud	actions agreed
2	Complex or multiple casebut below the OfS	
	significant fraud threshold (currently £25)k	Investigation conducted by internal
	OR	investigating officer, reporting to a small
	Fraud above the OfS significant fraud thresho	lopanel convened by the University
	but less complex case, lower level of potentia	Secretary Formal report to the Vice
	loss, lower reputational threat and seniority of	Chancellor and University SMT
	individual below Head of Department level	

Fraud above the OfS significant fraud thresho but more complex case, higher level of potentia(n)-01.erp (r,)-11.23.2 (b)-0.8 (o)1.3 (v)-8.5 (r4.9 ( h)4.9 4ty5oics00\*400hobn7(pc(n2.7200\* )]h)obth9l

7. Responsibility for investigation

- 9. References for staff disciplined or prosecuted for fraud
- 9.1 x

## Guidance for Individuals suspecting an instance of fraud

What to do if you suspect an instance of fraud?

The Universitywishesto encourage anyone having reasonable suspicions of fraud (as defined in section 3 of the Policy) to report them and therefore, it is also policy that no detrimental action of any kind will be taken against a person who makes a complaint, in good faith. There are three main ways in which such a complaint can be made.

1. Ideally, an individual should notify the

The investigation may determine that you were mistaken or there is a good explanation for the issues that you raised.

The investigation process may be quite lengthy and you may not hear further details once your involvement in any investigation has been completed.

Any investigation should be treated as confidential and you should not discuss any contribution you make with colleagues. It is normal for people to be unsettled during this type of situation and if you need support you should speak to your line manager or HR Business Partner.

## Guidance for managers on receiving a report of fraud:

Listen to the concerns of your staff and treat every report you receive seriously and sensitively. Make sure that all staff concerned are given the opportunity to engage in the process bearing in mind that they could be distressed, upset and/or frightened Reassure your staff that their concern will be taken forward and followed up appropriately.

Get as much information as possiblem the individual Do not interfere with any evidence and make sure it is kept in a safe place.

Ask the member of staff to keep the matter fully confidential in order that it can be investigated without alerting the suspected/alleged perpetrator.

Raise the concern with the University Secretaithout delay.